

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/215,095

Applicant(s)
Becker et al

Examiner
Michael Borin

Art Unit
1631



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Michael Borin

(3) Nathaniel T. Becker

(2) Janet K. Castaneda

(4) _____

Date of Interview Jul 29, 2002

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: _____

Identification of prior art discussed:

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The claim language "Layered granule having a single seed particle comprising ..." was suggested to emphasize presence of a single seed per particle. Such amendment will seemingly remove rejection over Kiessr et al.

In regard to the rejection over Martussen, attorney pointed out that there are no examples in the reference showing sugars to be part of the matrix, and directed examiner to the Becker Declaration discussing the differences with the referenced granules.

In regard to "new matter" rejections, attorney directed examiner's attention to pages 6,7 describing the invention as claimed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

MICHAEL BORIN
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1631

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/215,095

Applicant(s)
Becker et al

Examiner
Michael Borin

Art Unit
1631



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Michael Borin

(3) Nathaniel T. Becker

(2) Janet K. Castaneda

(4) _____

Date of Interview Jul 29, 2002

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: _____

Identification of prior art discussed: _____

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The claim language "Layered granule having a single seed particle comprising ..." was suggested to emphasize presence of a single seed per partic. Such amendment will seemingly remove rejection over Kiessr et al.

In regard to the rejection over Martussen, attorney pointed out that there are no examples in the reference showing sugars to be part of the matrix, and directed examiner to the Becker Declaration discussing the differences with the referenced granules.

In regard to "new matter" rejections, attorney directed examiner's attention to pages 6,7 describing the invention as claimed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

MICHAEL BORIN
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1631

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required